1	FH(EII)
2	SIGNK, W.S. ID WE TO COMMEN
3	3EC 19 2003
4	SENTRAL DE PRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5	DΕΡΙΤΎ ΕΙΝΕΙ Ε
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Case No.: 0f-3072m
11	Plaintiff,)
12	vs.) ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER HEARING) [Fed.R.Crim.P. 32.1(a)(6);
13	Is x1 As Oswaldo Clis- RAMIREZ) [Fed.R.Crim.P. 32.1(a) (6); 18 U.S.C. 3143(a)]
14	Defendant.)
15)
16	
17	
18	The defendant having been arrested in this District pursuant to
19	a warrant issued by the United States District Court for the
20	ND LOWA for alleged violation(s) of the terms and
21	conditions of his/her [probation] [supervised release]; and
22	The Court having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to
23	Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1(a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a),
24	The Court finds that:
25	A. (Y) The defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by
26	clear and convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to flee

if released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is

based on no evidence gresented by A; nature of changes;

27

28

1	use of humerous aliases; no aggain t buil resources
2	
3	
4	and/or
5	B. (Y) The defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by
6	clear and convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to pose
7	a danger to the safety of any other person or the community if
8	released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is based
9	on: no evidence pubmitted by A.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that the defendant be detained pending
15	the further revocation proceedings.
16	
17	Dated:12/19/08
18	Q_{+}
19	RALPH ZAREFSKY
20	UNITES STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	